



Staff: ANITHA C S

Subject: MAT203 - DISCRETE MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURES

Session Name: Faculty Feedback Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1687-Jan-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 53** Overall Score: 92.92

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	69.81%	656.75
	1. Subject Hillowicage	Good	14.13	15	28.3%	211.95
		Fair	10.28	1	1.89%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	34	64.15%	493
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	18	33.96%	212.58
		Fair	8.11	1	1.89%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	67.92%	270
		Good	5.71	15	28.3%	85.65
		Fair	4.04	2	3.77%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4 A	Less than 10	5.85	45	84.91%	263.25
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	3.77%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	11.32%	9.84
	5 WI d. d. d. t. 1. 1' t. t 1	No	4.00	50	94.34%	200
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.66%	4.95
		Excellent	9.25	40	75.47%	370
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	10	18.87%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.66%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	45	84.91%	213.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.66%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	9.43%	7
		Yes	6.88	44	83.02%	302.72
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	13.21%	27.23
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.77%	3.56
		Pleasant	6.75	49	92.45%	330.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent				
			2.78	1	1.89%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	5 92
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.66%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	50	94.34%	575
	·	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.77%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	1.89%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	38	71.7%	427.5
	•	Good	9.03	13	24.53%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	1	1.89%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.89%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: DR.SONIYA B

Subject : CST201 - DATA STRUCTURES

Session Name: Faculty Feedback Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1687-Jan-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 52** Overall Score: 90.99

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	71.15%	656.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	14	26.92%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	1	1.92%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	32	61.54%	464
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	16	30.77%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	4	7.69%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	69.23%	270
		Good	5.71	14	26.92%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	2	3.85%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4.A	Less than 10	5.85	41	78.85%	239.85
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	11.54%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	9.62%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	47	90.38%	188
		Yes	0.67	2	3.85%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.77%	4.95
	1.77	Excellent	9.25	35	67.31%	323.75
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	13	25%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.92%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.77%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	43	82.69%	204.25
		Too Fast	1.34	3	5.77%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	11.54%	8.4
		Yes	6.88	42	80.77%	288.96
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	13.46%	27.23
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.77%	5.34
		Pleasant	6.75	47	90.38%	317.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.85%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.26	3	5.77%	5.82
		Sincere	11.50	45	86.54%	517.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.77%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.77%	
		Blank	4.03		1.92%	15.18 4.03
				1 22		371.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	33	63.46%	
		Good	9.03	17	32.69%	153.51
		Fair	6.46	1	1.92%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.92%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: JAYASUDHA J S

Subject: CST203 - Logic System Design

Session Name: Faculty Feedback Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1687-Jan-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 50** Overall Score: 83.52

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	52%	461.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	16	32%	226.08
		Fair	10.28	7	14%	71.96
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	20	40%	290
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	18	36%	212.58
		Fair	8.11	8	16%	64.88
		Poor	2.01	4	8%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	52%	195
	3. reactions withingness to freip	Good	5.71	14	28%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	9	18%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	1	2%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	76%	222.3
	7, 71 Province 7, 61 Classes 1.01 Engaged by the reactions	10 to 25	3.66	3	6%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	2	4%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	14%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	82%	164
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	10%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	8%	6.6
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	27	54%	249.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	15	30%	95.1
		Inadequate	2.50	5	10%	12.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	6%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	34	68%	161.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	11	22%	14.74
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	10%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	39	78%	268.32
		Some Times	3.89	7	14%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	2%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	39	78%	263.25
	T. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	8%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	6%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	8%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	39	78%	448.5
	5. Sincerity of the feacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	7	14%	35.42
		Blank	4.03	4	8%	16.12
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	23	46%	258.75
	o. Overall reaching effectivelless	Good	9.03	16	32%	144.48
		Fair	6.46	8	16%	51.68
		Poor	1.56	2	4%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: HARIPRIYA B S

Subject: CST205 - OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING USING JAVA

Session Name: Faculty Feedback Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1687-Jan-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 49** Overall Score: 89.6

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	32	65.31%	568
	1. Subject Isliowieuge	Good	14.13	13	26.53%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	4	8.16%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	30	61.22%	435
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	28.57%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	5	10.2%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	33	67.35%	247.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	12	24.49%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	4	8.16%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4.4. '	Less than 10	5.85	39	79.59%	228.15
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	10.2%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.2%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	81.63%	160
		Yes	0.67	4	8.16%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	10.2%	8.25
2		Excellent	9.25	32	65.31%	296
_	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	13	26.53%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.04%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	6.12%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	39	79.59%	185.25
		Too Fast	1.34	4	8.16%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	12.24%	8.4
		Yes	6.88	39	79.59%	268.32
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	14.29%	27.23
		No No	0.74	1	2.04%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.08%	3.56
		Pleasant	6.75	43	87.76%	290.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	6.12%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0.12%	0
		Can't Judge	1.28	3	6.12%	5.82
				42		+
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere Not Sincere	11.50		85.71%	483
		Not Sincere	1.33	1 2	2.04%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	6.12%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	3	6.12%	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	34	69.39%	382.5
		Good	9.03	9	18.37%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	4	8.16%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.08%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: ANAKHASREE S R

Subject: HUT200 - PROFESSIONAL ETHICS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1687-Jan-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 48** Overall Score: 90.07

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31	64.58%	550.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	14	29.17%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	2	4.17%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.08%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	32	66.67%	464
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	27.08%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	2	4.17%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.08%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	32	66.67%	240
		Good	5.71	12	25%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	3	6.25%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.08%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	79.17%	222.3
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	10.42%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.42%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	87.5%	168
		Yes	0.67	3	6.25%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	6.25%	4.95
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	34	70.83%	314.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	10	20.83%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	2	4.17%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	4.17%	6.32
	2.0 1.0	Just Right	4.75	41	85.42%	194.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	4.17%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	10.42%	7
		Yes	6.88	42	87.5%	288.96
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3	6.25%	11.67
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6.25%	5.34
		Pleasant	6.75	40	83.33%	270
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	6.25%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4.17%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	6.25%	5.82
		Sincere	11.50	42	87.5%	483
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.25%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.08%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	2	4.17%	8.06
		Excellent	11.25	30	62.5%	337.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	-	108.36
		Fair		_	25%	
			6.46	4	8.33%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	and Weekness	Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.17%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: SOJASALIM

Subject: MCN201 - SUSTAINABLE ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1687-Jan-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 46** Overall Score: 86.02

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	27	58.7%	479.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	13	28.26%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	4	8.7%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	2.17%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.17%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	26	56.52%	377
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	32.61%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	2	4.35%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	2	4.35%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.17%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	56.52%	195
	3. reachers winnighess to ricip	Good	5.71	14	30.43%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	4	8.7%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	1	2.17%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.17%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	33	71.74%	193.05
	Approximate /0 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	15.22%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	13.04%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	39	84.78%	156
		Yes	0.67	4	8.7%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	6.52%	4.95
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	60.87%	259
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	28.26%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	3	6.52%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	4.35%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	35	76.09%	166.25
		Too Fast	1.34	4	8.7%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	15.22%	9.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	34	73.91%	233.92
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	15.22%	27.23
		No	0.74	2	4.35%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6.52%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	36	78.26%	243
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	8.7%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4.35%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	8.7%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	39	84.78%	448.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.52%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.35%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	2	4.35%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	26	56.52%	292.5
	o. Overall reaching effectivelless	Good	9.03	13	28.26%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	3	6.52%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	2	4.35%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.35%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: RAHMATH S HAMEED

Subject: MRT281A - INTRODUCTION TO SENSORS AND ACTUATORS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1687-Jan-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 13** Overall Score: 96.74

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	10	76.92%	177.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	3	23.08%	42.39
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	11	84.62%	159.
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	2	15.38%	23.6
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	12	92.31%	90
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	1	7.69%	5.71
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4 A	Less than 10	5.85	10	76.92%	58.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	15.38%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	7.69%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	13	100%	52
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	12	92.31%	111
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	7.69%	6.34
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	12	92.31%	57
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	7.69%	1.4
		Yes	6.88	13	100%	89.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	0	0%	0
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4.7.1	Pleasant	6.75	12	92.31%	81
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	7.69%	1.94
		Sincere	11.50	13	100%	149
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	11	84.62%	123
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	2	15.38%	18.0
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: HARIKUTTAN K.

Subject : AOT281 - FUNDAMENTALS OF AERONAUTICS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1687-Jan-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 5** Overall Score: 88.06

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	3	60%	53.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	1	20%	14.13
		Fair	10.28	1	20%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	3	60%	43.5
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	1	20%	11.81
		Fair	8.11	1	20%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	3	60%	22.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	1	20%	5.71
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	1	20%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	5	100%	29.25
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	5	100%	20
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	3	60%	27.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	20%	6.34
		Inadequate	2.50	1	20%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	4	80%	19
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	20%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	5	100%	34.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	0	0%	0
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	4	80%	27
	T. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	20%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	4	80%	46
	3. Smearry of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	20%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	3	60%	33.75
	o. Overall Teaching effectivelless	Good	9.03	1	20%	9.03
		Fair	6.46	1	20%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.